US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

REVIEW NO.

# EEB BRANCH REVIEW

DAT	E: IN <u>9-30-85</u>	OUT _	4/10/86	
FILE OR REG. NO.		618-OA	Say ship ship ship say say say sa ship ship ship ship ship ship ship ship	
DATE OF SUBMISSION		8-14-85	<u></u>	
DATE RECEIVED BY HE	D	9-24-85		·
RD REQUESTED COMPLE	TION DATE	1-13-86		
EEB ESTIMATED COMPL	ETION DATE	1-06-86		
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE	OF REVIEW	115	د خوان این این این این این این این این این ا	
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I	, D, H, F, N, R	, SInse	cticide	
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.		G. I	aRocca	
PRODUCT NAME		Avid		
COMPANY NAME	Merck, Sharp	and Dohme		
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Proposed ful	l registrat	ion of new o	chemical
	for use on f	lower crops	and foliage	e plants
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.	СН	EMICAL, FOR	RMULATION	% A.I.
122804	Abamectin			

#### ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH REVIEW

Avid 0.15 EC

## 100 Submission Purpose and Label Information

## 100.1 Submission Purpose and Pesticide Use

The registrant, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Inc. proposes to register Abamectin (a.i. in Avid) for use as a miticide/insecticide on flower crops and foliage plants.

## 100.2 Formulation Information

Avid is 2% Abamectin

# 100.3 Application Methods, Directions, Rates

Apply to greenhouse, shadehouse and field grown flowers and ornamentals. Use 8-16 fluid oz. per acre. This is equivalent to 0.01 to 0.02 lbs. a.i. per acre. The label does not mention aerial application, so this was not included in the hazard assessment. The label does instruct users to repeat application as necessary for control.

#### 100.4 Target Organisms

Leafminers, Two-spotted spider mites.

#### 100.5 Precautionary Labeling

"This pesticide is toxic to fish and wildife. Keep out of lakes, ponds and streams. Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment or disposal of wastes.

Do not apply when weather conditions favor drift from target area.

This product is highly toxic to bees exposed to direct treatment or residues on blooming crops or weeds. Do not apply this product or allow it to drift to blooming crops or weeds if bees are visiting the treatment area."

## 101 Hazard Assessment

# 101.1 Discussion

This proposed registration would allow the use of Avid 0.15 EC which is 2% Abamectin, on flowers and ornamentals. Application rate is 0.01-0.02 lbs. a.i. per acre.

The registrant further qualified the use pattern by explaining\* that it includes only field flowers and greenhouse/shadehouse non-woody foliage plants. Such restrictions must be placed on the label.

\* telephone conversation with Louis Grosso of Merck Sharp and Dohme, 3/24/86

Flowers are grown commercially (Florida and New Jersey) primarily in light sandy soils.\* \*\* The states with the highest acreages are Florida (8,292 acres), California (6236 acres), and New Jersey (1500 acres) according to the 1974 Census of Agriculture, U.S. Summary Data. This represents essentially all the outdoor exposure associated with this proposed use.

Cut flowers in Florida are grown mostly in the southwestern region near Fort Myers and Naples\*. In New Jersey, flowers are grown south of Trenton\*\*. According to the 1978 Census of Agriculture-California County Summary Data, the California counties with the highest acreages are:

San Diego 2369 acres Ventura 1249 acres Kern 1560 acres

## 101.2 Likelihood of Adverse Effects to Nontarget Organisms

Abamectin is very highly toxic to:

fish aquatic invertebrates bluegill Daphnia magna Daphnia magna Daphnia magna Daphnia magna MATC >0.03<0.09 ppb estuarine invertebrates Mysid shrimp mouse <math>Daphnia magna Daphnia magna Daphnia magna MATC >0.03<0.09 ppb <math>Daphnia magna Daphnia magna Daphnia magna MATC >0.03<0.09 ppb <math>Daphnia magna Daphnia magn

It affects rat reproductive success

at 0.1 to 0.5 mg/kg/day

It is highly toxic to birds Mallard ducks LC50=383 ppm

# Aquatic Exposure

Runoff is expected to be minimal from these sandy soils and should not result in hazardous exposure of aquatic organisms to Abamectin. A field dissipation study submitted by Merck Sharp and Dohme was reviewed by EAB (Akiva Abramovitch, March 18, 1986). It suggested that Abamectin would not leach past 4 inches in soils where flowers are grown. However, the soil was not characterized therefore the dissipation study results are not useful in a hazard assessment. Potential leaching of the active ingredient through the soil and laterally into surface water represents the primary route of exposure of aquatic organisms to Abamectin. The field dissipation study could show that this would not occur if the soil type is identified as a light sandy soil. But even if abamectin does reach surface water from these flower fields, the extent of adverse effects to aquatic organisms would be minimal because of the small acreage involved and the low use rate.

<sup>\*</sup> telephone conversation with Dr. Nell, Ornamental Horticulture Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. 904-392-1831. \*\* telephone conversation with Mr. Lacey, Ext. Horticulturist, Rutgers - The State University in New Brunswick. 201-932-9726.

## 101.3 Endangered Species Considerations

Due to the low use rate, this proposed use will not have an adverse effect on endangered terrestrial species.

Endangered aquatic species should not be affected since none occur near major flower growing areas and there is very limited acreage involved.

## 101.4 Adequacy of the Toxicity Data

The available data were adequate to perform a hazard assessment for this use.

Three tests were submitted for review:

1. Test type: Aquatic invertebrate reproduction

Test Material: H-Avermectin reported as 100% measured a.i.

Species: Daphnia magna

Results:  $\overline{MATC} > 0.03 < 0.09$  ppb. All daphnids exposed to 0.09

were dead by day 5. All daphnids at the two lowest

test concentrations (0.029 and 0.030 ppb) were

small and pale in coloration compared to the controls.

Category: Core

2. Test type: Fish 96-hour LC50

Test Material: 91% ai

Species: Channel catfish

Results: LC50=0.024 ppm (95% C.L.=0.018-0.032 ppm)

Category: Core

3. Test type: Fish 96-hour LC50

Test Material: 91% ai

Species: Carp (Cyprinus carpio)

Results: LC50=0.042 ppm (95% C.L.=0.032-0.056 ppm)

Category: Supplemental (inappropriate species)

#### 101.5 Adequacy of Labeling

The environmental hazard label statement is adequate. However, the label should identify the proposed use sites and specifically exclude application to woody ornamentals and outdoor nurseries.

#### 103 Conclusion

EEB has completed a full risk assessment (3(c)(5) Finding) of the proposed registration of Abamectin for use on flower crops and foliage plants. Based on available data and use information EEB concludes that the proposed use will result in minimal hazards to nontarget organisms provided that the use site is specifically identified as mentioned in section 101.5 (above) and aerial application is not permitted.

Ruda 4/10/86

Wildlife Biologist, Section 2 Ecological Effects Branch Hazard Evaluation Division

Cwk 4.15.82 nomen

Norm Cook

Section Head, Section 2 Ecological Effects Branch Hazard Evaluation Division

Chief, Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division

#### Data Evaluation Record

- 1. Chemical: H-Avermectin
- 2.  $\underline{\text{Test}}$   $\underline{\text{Material}}$ : Test concentrations are reported as micrograms of  ${}^{3}\text{H-Avermectin per liter}$
- 3. <u>Study Type</u>: Aquatic Invertebrate Life-Cycle
  Species Tested: Daphnia magna

4. Study I.D.:

Study Title: The Chronic Toxicity of <sup>3</sup>H-Avermectin to Daphnia magna.

Laboratory: EG and G Bionomics

Study No.: Bionomics Study #047-0583-H15-130

Date of Study: November 1983, revised November 1984

Study Sponsor: Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories

Study Location: Acc #259364

5. Reviewed By:

Daniel D. Rieder Wildlife Biologist EEB/HED

6. Approved By:

Norm J. Cook Supervisory Biologist EEB/HED Signature Hamel Rieds

Date: \_\_\_

Signature:

Date:

4.17.86

7. Conclusions:

This study is scientifically sound. Th is study showed that MD-936 is acutely toxic at >0.029 ppb ( $\overline{x}$  measured with radio-labled chemical). All daphnids exposed to concentrations of 0.093 ppb <sup>3</sup>H-Avermectin were dead by exposure day 5. The number of offspring produced by daphnids exposed to the two lowest measured treatment levels (0.030 and 0.029 ppb), was unaffected when compared to the number of offspring produced by daphnids in the negative control. At the tests termination, all surviving daphnids in the two lowest treatment levels were small and had pale coloration as compared to daphnids in the negative control.

8. Recommendations: N/A

- 9. Background: This study was provided to support registration.
- 10. Individual Studies: N/A
- 11. Methods and Materials:
  - A. Test Material: The test material was Tritium labeled Avermectin (91.43% a.i.). Test concentrations are reported as micrograms of <sup>3</sup>H-Avermectin per liter of test solution (ppb).
  - B. Test Organisms: The test organisms were obtained for laboratory stock cultured at E.G. and G. Twenty Daphnia magna (< 24 hrs old) were placed in each aquarium at test initiation. Four aquaria, 80 organisms, were used per level.
  - C. Test Conditions: Flow-through, at a rate of 4.6 aquarium volumes per 24-hr. Four 1.75-liter glass aquaria per level (5 concentrations, nominal 0.021, 0.042, 0.085, 0.17, and 0.34 ppb) and a negative and solvent control (acetone). Lighting was 16 hrs light and 8 hrs darkness. Test temperature was 21+1°C. Food was provided at 0.5 ml yeast and 2 ml algal suspension 3 times daily (weekdays) and 2 times daily (weekends).
  - D. Test Solution: Fortified/filtered well water.

A 48-hr acute study was conducted to determine what levels should be used in a chronic study.

Statistics: Weekly survival data, transformed to arc sign percentage and the determination of cumulative production of offspring per female derived during the chronic toxicity test, where subjected to analysis of variance according to Steel and Torrie (1960). If significant differences were observed, the Dunnett's procedure was used to determine which treatments, if any, were significantly different from the controls.

\* Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill, New York: 481 pp.

## 12. Reported Results:

48-hr acute toxicity test results:

 $LC_{50}$  = 0.31 ppb (95% conf limits = 0.25 - 0.37 ppb) See Table 1 for acute mortality data. See Table 2 for water quality analysis. The Do remained sufficiently high throughout the test.

All organisms died in the three highest levels by day 5. See Table 3. The cumulative offspring per female was not significantly less at 0.043 and 0.021 ppb, but there was 64% mortality at 0.042 ppb, and 11% mortality at 0.021 ppb. Surviving daphnids at these lower levels were small and pale in color compared to those in the negative and solvent control. See Table 5 for results of test solution analysis.

## 13. Study Authors Conclusions:

MK-936 is acutely toxic at <0.042 ppb.
MK-936 does not affect reproduction at 0.042 ppb or lower.

He explained the discrepancy between the nominal concentrations and measured concentrations at the 2 lower test levels as possibly caused by the solubility of the test material in the dilution water and the possible interaction between the test material and the added food suspension (absorption).

#### 14. Reviewers Discussion:

A. Test Procedures: The test procedure was acceptable.

#### B. Statistical Analysis:

The statistics performed on the data are appropriate and the results match the raw data.

#### C. Discussion of Results:

The measured concentrations are shown in Table 5. They averaged 0.030, 0.029, 0.093, 0.19, and 0.38 ppb for the nominal levels of 0.021, 0.042, 0.085, 0.017, and 0.34 ppb respectively. Therefore, I consider the highest level that did not cause reproductive effects to be 0.030 ppb. However, there was an observed effects to the daphnids at these levels compared to the controls, the daphnids in the 0.030 and 0.029 ppb appeared smaller and had pale coloration. On the basis of statistically significantly differences, the reproductive MATC is >0.030 < 0.093 ppb. The NOEL is <0.029 ppb, however. Furthermore,  $^3\text{H-Avermectin}$  is acutely toxic to daphnids at >0.030 ppb.



# D. Adequacy of the Study:

The study fulfills the 72-4 guideline requirement for an aquatic invertebrate life-cycle study.

- 15. Completion of One Liner for Study: Done
- 16. CBI Appendix: The attached tables are CBI.

Avermectin science review
Page is not included in this copy.  Pages through/5 are not included in this copy.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients
Identity of product impurities
Description of the product manufacturing process
Description of product quality control procedures
Identity of the source of product ingredients
Sales or other commercial/financial information
A draft product label
The product confidential statement of formula
Information about a pending registration action
X FIFRA registration data
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

#### DATA EVALUATION REPORT

#### ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH

1. Chemical: MK-936

Sha. No: 122804

- 2. Test Material: 91% a.i.
- 3. Study Type: Fish 96-hour LC50 with Channel catfish
- 4. Study ID: Author: McAllister, William A.

Title: Acute Toxicity of MK-936 Technical and Channel Catfish (Ictalurus punctatus)

Laboratory: Analytical Bio-Chem. Lab. Inc. Study No./Date: 39278/29 May, 85 Study Submitted by: Merck, Sharp and Dohme Rsch. Lab. Acc No: 259364

5. Review By:

Daniel D. Rieder Wildlife Biologist Ecological Effects Branch Signature think Rich

Date: April 17'86

6. Approved By:

Norman J. Cook Head-Section 2 Ecological Effects Branch Signature: Numan J. W.K.

Date: 4.17.96

- 7. Conclusions: This study is scientifically sound.  $96-\text{hour } \text{LC}_{50} = 0.024 \text{ ppm.} 95\%$  Confidence Limits = 0.018-0.032 ppm. This study will fulfill the guideline requirement for a warmwater fish acute  $96-\text{hour } \text{LC}_{50}$ .
- 8. Recommendations: N/A
- 9. <u>Background:</u> This study was provided to support registration.
- 10. Discussion of Individual Tests: N/A

# 11. Methods/Materials:

a. Test Material: MK-936 Avermectin

Percent active ingredient: 91% a.i Reported concentrations were corrected for sample purity.

b. Test Organism: Channel Catfish

Source: Northups Fish hatchery Length:  $\overline{X} = 36 + 1.8$  mm Accululation:  $1\overline{4}$  days, 48-hrs without food Organisms per container: 5 No./level: 10 Weight:  $\overline{X} = 0.80 + 0.11g$  Loading: 0.267 g/ $\overline{1}$ iter

c. <u>Test Containers:</u> Glass

Size: 5 gallons with 15 liters Aerated: No Replicates: 2

d. Test Conditions: Static

Photoperiod: 16-hrs/day Solvent: DMF

Temperature: 22° + 1°C

Test Solution: reconstituted well water

Controls: Untreated and solvent

Ways test was begun: fish added to test solution within 30 minutes

Reference: Committee on Methods for Toxicity Tests with Aquatic Organisms. Methods of Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and Amphibians. 1975. U.S. EPA, Ecol. Res. Ser. 660/3-75009.

American Public Health Association. 1980. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 15th ed. Washington, D.C. 1134 p.

e. Statistics: Stephan, C. E., K. A. Busch, R. Smith,
J. Burke, and R. W. Andrews. 1978. A
Computer Program for Calculating an LC50.
U. S. EPA, Duluth, MN, pre-publication
manuscript, August, 1978.

# 12. Reported Results: $96-hr LC_{50} = 0.024 ppm$ 95% C.L = 0.018-0.032 ppm

C	ONCENTRATION NOMINAL		4 HI	ORTAL 48 HR	96 HI		CONDITIONS DO pH	
	Control		0	 0	 0	********	-	
	Solvent Conf	trol -	0	 Ŏ	 Õ		<del></del>	
_	0.0056		0	 0	 0		nine min	
-	0.01	· +-	0	 0	 0		·	
	0.018		0	 0	 0			
-	0.032		0	 8	 10		****	
-	0.056		10	 10	 10	<del></del>		
-	0.10		10	 10	 10		<del></del>	

## 13. Study Author's Conclusions:

The NOEL was 0.01 ppm based on abnormal effects including, mortality, loss of equilibrium, fish on the bottom of the test chambers, and quiescence.

#### 14. Reviewer Discussion:

- a. Test Procedure: The test procedure was acceptable.
- b. Statistical Analysis: The statistical analysis results compared well with raw mortality data.
- c. <u>Discussion/Results:</u> The results show that MK-936 is very highly toxic to warmwater fish.
- d. Adequacy: Core
- 15. Completion of One-liners: One-liner completed
- 16. CBI Appendix: N/A

#### DATA EVALUATION REPORT

#### ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH

Chemical: Avermectin Bl

Sha. No: 122804

- 2. Test Material: 97% a.i.
- Study Type: Fish 96-hour LC50 with Carp (Cyprinus carpio) 3.
- 4. Study ID: Author: Douglas, Mark T. and Ian B. Pell

Title: The Acute Toxicity of Avermectin Bl. to Carp (Cyprinus carpio)

Laboratory: Huntingdon Rsch Cent. Study No./Date: MSD 150-85381/20 May, 85

Study Submitted by: Merck, Sharp and Dohme Rsch. Lab. Review By: Acc No: 259364 5.

Daniel D. Rieder Wildlife Biologist Ecological Effects Branch Signature: Daniel Reder

Date: April 17, 1986

6. Approved By:

> Norman J. Cook Head-Section 2

Ecological Effects Branch

Signature:

4-17-86 Date:

- Conclusions: This study is scientifically sound. 7. 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.042 ppm. 95% Confidence Limits = 0.032-0.056 ppm. This study will not fulfill the guideline requirement for a warmwater fish acute 96-hour LC50 because the test species is inappropriate.
- 8. Recommendations: N/A
- Background: This study was provided to support 9. registration.
- 10. Discussion of Individual Tests: N/A

## 11. Methods/Materials:

a. Test Material: Avermectin Bl

Percent active ingredient: 97% a.i

b. Test Organism: Carp (Cyprinus carpio)

Source: Avon Coarse Fish Farm

Length:  $\overline{X} = 5.3$  cm

Acclimation: 14 days, 24-hrs without food

Organisms per container: 10

No./level : 10 Weight:  $\overline{X} = 5.34$  g Loading: 2.7 g/liter

c. Test Containers: Glass aquaria

Size: Volume test solution 20 liters

Aerated: Yes

d. Test Conditions: Flowthrough

Photoperiod: 16 hrs/day Solvent: None mentioned Temperature: 22 + 1°C

Test Solution: dechlorinated tap water

Controls: Untreated

Ways test was begun: fish placed in prepared solution

e. <u>Statistics:</u> Thompson, and Weil (1952) Biometrics 8, 51-54.

12. Reported Results:  $96-hr LC_{50} = 0.042 ppm$ 95% C.L = 0.032-0.056 ppm

CON	CENTRATION M NOMINAL		HR	<u>s 4</u>		TALI <u>S</u> 7	TY 2 HR	<u>s 9</u>	6 HR	<u>.</u> . <u>S</u>	DO DO	TIONS pH
_	Control		0		0		0		0		8.1	8.0
_	0.010		0		0		0	<del></del>	0	<del></del>	7.9	7.9
	0.018		0		0		0		0		8.2	7.9
-	0.032	-	0		0		0		0		8.4	7.9
_	0.056		1		3		6		10		8.7	7.8
	0.100		10	. <del></del>	10		10		10		8.6	7.9

# 13. Study Author's Conclusions:

Marked reaction to exposure (other than death) were torpidity, sluggish swimming, loss of equilibrium, and increased pigmentation.

# 14. Reviewer Discussion:

- a. <u>Test Procedure</u>: The test procedure was acceptable except that carp is not an appropriate test species.
- b. Statistical Analysis: The statistical analysis results is consistent with the raw mortality data, see the attached printout.
- c. <u>Discussion/Results:</u> This test shows that Avermectin Bl is very highly toxic to carp under flow-through conditions.
- d. Adequacy: Supplemental
- 15. Completion of One-liners: One-liner completed
- 16. CBI Appendix: N/A

122804 MK-936 97% a.i. Avermedlin Bla Carp 96-hour LC50

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	EINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
. 1	10	10	100	9. <b>76562</b> 5E-02
.056	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
.032	10		Ç	9.765625E-02
.018	10	O 1	Ö	9.765625E-02
.01	10	O	ं	9.765625E-02

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .032 AND .056 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 4.235204E-02

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH INT PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN O AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBLE METHOD CAN SIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOURCE PERCENTS.



	is not included in this copy. $\frac{23}{100}$ through $\frac{29}{100}$ are not included in this copy.
<del> </del>	
The n infor	naterial not included contains the following type of mation:
1	dentity of product inert ingredients
]	dentity of product impurities
E	escription of the product manufacturing process
[	escription of product quality control procedures
I	dentity of the source of product ingredients
S	ales or other commercial/financial information
X A	draft product label
r <u>X</u>	he product confidential statement of formula
I	nformation about a pending registration action
F	IFRA registration data
T	he document is a duplicate of page(s)
	he document is not responsive to the request